AUSTIN LIGHT RAIL: COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT REPORT

COMMUNITY DIALOGUE
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Key Insights

Top Community Feedback Themes:

- Supported the advancement of a light rail project that moves Austinites where they need and want to go
- Prioritized Mobility, Customer Service, and Access to Opportunities
- Focused on greater coverage, seamless integration with other transportation options, expandability of the system and affordably reaching key destinations.
- Explored endpoint alternatives, expressed sentiments related to environmental impacts and benefits, and land use and housing.

Austin Light Rail Background

In November of 2020, Austin’s voters overwhelmingly approved Proposition A that dedicated property tax to support Project Connect, a transit system plan that includes new light rail and more services.

Light Rail Implementation Plan

The Austin Transit Partnership (ATP) has worked with our community to develop options for a Light Rail Implementation Plan that can be delivered within budget while honoring the goals and values of Austin voters.

Dialogue Results In Better Light Rail

Community dialogue is central to the success of Austin Light Rail. On March 21, 2023, ATP presented five light rail plan options to our community that aligned with the community’s values and needs. This launched a six-week engagement process that provided our community opportunities to learn about the light rail project and comment on the options. In partnership with the Project Connect team at the City of Austin and CapMetro, ATP staff made strides towards reaching priority populations, increasing outreach and education about the Austin Light Rail Implementation Plan, and receiving valuable feedback on the options presented.

Prioritizing Community Values & Guiding Principles

Community values criteria coupled with overarching guiding principles were used to categorize community feedback into themes and gather insights to inform the first phase of the light rail project.
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SECTION 1: COMMUNITY FEEDBACK THEMES

What We Heard the Most

Mobility and Customer Experience: Light Rail should provide convenient and good transit service.

- Ensure the light rail system provides good coverage
- Move people more efficiently
- Attract riders
- Integrate the light rail system with current and future lines, CapMetro bus service, and bike and pedestrian networks seamlessly
- Manage congestion in Austin
- More reliable commutes
- Ensure a viable plan on how the light rail will interact with cars at the street-level

Access To Opportunities: Light Rail should make meaningful connections, especially for the people who need them most.

- Prioritize transit to where people live and work
- Reach key destinations - schools, medical centers, job centers, as well as areas around Austin including the airport, Downtown, and the University of Texas
- Make the light rail system affordable, including future fares
- Provide more job opportunities and future job growth
- Provide opportunities for Austinites to get to and from work more easily

www.atptx.org
Community comments explored additional endpoint alternatives, expressed sentiments related to environmental impacts and benefits, and land use and housing. Community questions arose on ridership data, costs and financing plan, and expandability of the system. www.atptx.org/faqs

**Land Use and Housing:** Light Rail should create links between affordable/attainable housing and transit

- Serve existing and planned affordable housing units
- Land use adjacent to light rail should support higher ridership
- Support Equitable Transit-Oriented Development plans

**Environmental Benefits:** Light rail has both potential impacts on sensitive environmental resources and provides benefits for climate change

- Transit presents environmental advantages
- Mode-shift opportunities have a positive impact on climate change
Priority Populations Guided Engagement

ATP is committed to engaging directly with “priority populations,” or communities most likely to be impacted by Project Connect. Last May, ATP assessed previous Project Connect engagement efforts and identified outreach gaps in the following priority populations:
- Youth (ages 18-24)
- Spanish-speaking communities
- Black and Latino communities
- People with disabilities
- Low-income communities

Focus Groups Prioritized Community Values For Light Rail

The engagement strategy evolved to adjust and increase the quantity and quality of interactions with priority populations to respond to the outreach gaps. Focus group participants self-identified as a member of a priority population group mentioned above.

ATP conducted 11 Light Rail Focus Groups between December 2022 and January 2023 in advance of the public launch of light rail options.

Focus Group Goals
- Engage with Priority Populations
- Receive Community Values Criteria feedback
- Evaluate perceptions on key destinations that light rail could serve

Focus Group Results
Participants indicated the project team should prioritize:
- Access to Opportunities
- Affordability Benefits
- Who we serve
- Connectivity
- Traffic

Light Rail Alternative Options Selected Based On Priority Community Values

Following the conclusion of the focus groups, ATP Community Engagement team provided data collected during the community values exercise to the Engineering & Planning teams to incorporate the feedback (along with previous feedback) into the analysis of feasible option alternatives for Austin’s first light rail project.
When combined, core community values and guiding principles formed the framework for how ATP analyzed feedback to identify community priorities.

Community Values Criteria

- Reflect goals rooted in the Austin Strategic Mobility Plan, Climate Equity Plan, and other previous documents in the criteria that will be applied to our work.

Guiding Principles

- Build equity, sustainability, and accessibility into community outreach to priority populations and the overall decision-making processes at ATP.

Comment Analysis

- Analyze and prioritize the principles and values most important to our community and incorporate feedback into the staff recommendation for the Light Rail Implementation Plan.
On March 21, 2023, ATP unveiled five light rail plan options to the community that aligned with community values and needs. This launched a six-week community dialogue process from March 21 through May 2, allowing the community the opportunity to comment on the options.

Engagement Activities & Highlights

In-Person Open House Participation
532 people attended March 21st Austin Light Rail Open House and provided 423 handwritten comments

Virtual Open House Participation
Over 19,000 views, ~2,000 participants, and over 5,049 comments received on the site

Bus Stop/Train Station Outreach
Outreach occurred at 45 unique locations around Austin connecting with 3,469 people

Community Conversations, Events, and Boards, Commissions and Committees
Adopting a “say yes” policy to any group or individual who asked for a meeting, ATP participated 90+ events during the six-week dialogue period.

Priority populations and broader engagement tactics included:

- Virtual Open House and virtual meetings in English and Spanish
- Media engagement in English and Spanish-language markets
- Partnered with community groups to support deeper connections with priority populations
- Spoke to core transit riders through outreach at bus stops and train stations
- Attended meetings in community spaces and public events
- Partnered with City Council Members to engage with their constituents
- Presented to City of Austin Boards and Commissions and CapMetro and ATP Committees
- Ensured access to ATP staff for all questions via email, phone, in person, etc.

Virtual Community Update
On April 6, ATP staff presented to 149 community members and answered more than 90 questions live
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SECTION 2: HOW WE LED ENGAGEMENT

Engagement Map

- Boards, Commissions, and Committees
- Community Conversation
- Community Event
- Bus Stop / Train Stop Outreach

8,000+ Direct Interactions

Includes interactions with attendees counted at in-person outreach events, bus/train stop outreach, and self-reported virtual open house participants.

Map shows community interactions conducted between March 21, 2023 and May 2, 2023. See Appendix (A2-A4) for more information on engagement locations and data by zip code.

https://tinyurl.com/lightrailoutreachmap
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Engagement By The Numbers: Reaching Community

In partnership with the Project Connect team at the City of Austin and CapMetro, ATP staff made strides towards reaching priority populations, increasing outreach and education about the Austin Light Rail Implementation Plan, and receiving valuable feedback on the options.

IN-PERSON ENGAGEMENT

8,000+ PEOPLE ENGAGED

5,600+ TOTAL COMMENTS

ACTIVE ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

91

BUS STATION AND TRAIN STATION OUTREACH LOCATIONS

45

MULTILINGUAL COMMUNICATIONS

OVERALL E-NEWSLETTER STATS

42K+ TOTAL RECIPIENTS REACHED

806 TEXT MESSAGE RECIPIENTS

57.2% AVERAGE UNIQUE OPEN RATE

WEBSITE

19,397 VIEWS (ENGLISH-Spanish)

2,017 PARTICIPANTS (ENGLISH-Spanish)

5,049 COMMENTS (ENGLISH-Spanish)

67K+ WEBSITE PAGE VIEWS (COMBINED ACROSS ALL PAGES ON ATPTX.ORG)

OVERALL SOCIAL MEDIA STATS

19K PAGE REACH ON ATP SOCIAL MEDIA ACCOUNTS

54K POST IMPRESSIONS

15K USERS REACHED

www.atptx.org
During the six-week community dialogue period, ATP collected and coded all comments. A qualitative analysis of self-identified demographic data identified emerging themes.

### Comments Coded To Themes

- Addressed Multiple Themes In Single Comment
- Determined Patterns Through Qualitative Data Analysis
- Qualitative Analysis of Self-Identified Demographic Data
- Examination of Emerging Themes

Demographic information provides a limited snapshot of what different communities are saying about light rail. For example, roughly only half of the Virtual Open House participants provided their race/ethnicity, and about a third provided their income. ATP will continue to actively explore ways of collecting demographic data in future engagement efforts.

### Priority Themes from Self-Identified Black, Indigenous, People of Color Participants

- **744 comments:**
  - Top themes: Access, Airport, Connectivity, Cost, and Ridership.
  - Top community values: Access to Opportunities; Mobility and Customer Service.
  - Support for options that served the most locations and carried the most riders.
  - Feedback was split about reaching the airport during the first phase of the light rail.

### Priority Themes from Self-Identified Low-Income* Participants

- **547 comments:**
  - Top themes: Airport, Access, Connectivity, Cost, and Ridership.
  - Top community values: Access to Opportunities; Mobility and Customer Service.
  - Support for options that served the most people and focused on connections for bicyclists, pedestrians, bus transit, and overall system connections.
  - Extending light rail to the airport to increase access to East Austin was a common theme.

### Priority Themes from Current Transit Rider Participants

- **3,355 comments:**
  - Top themes: Airport, Access, Connectivity, Ridership, and Downtown.
  - Top community values: Access to Opportunities; Mobility and Customer Service.
  - Identified the airport and downtown as key destinations.
  - Support for options that had larger coverage, served the most people, provided frequent service, and the need for multimodal connections and bus connectivity.

(*household incomes below $73,000 or individual incomes below $43,000)
Community Feedback On Light Rail Options

On-Street: 38th To Oltorf To Yellow Jacket

Community feedback on this option included:

- Support for connecting to existing rail and bus service.
- Need for access to key destinations and areas of town, including South Austin, Downtown and the University of Texas.
- Concerns about how the on-street option could impact and delay vehicle traffic.
- Feedback also included expanding the line past Yellow Jacket Lane to the Airport and expanding the line further north and south.
- On-street light rail was seen as more affordable and providing more connectivity to the community.
- Trinity Street river crossing allows for connection to the east side of Downtown, the Convention Center, Rainey District, current and future jobs centers and housing, transit connections, and opportunities for future expansion; concerns around traffic and construction impacts.
- 1st Street river crossing allows for connections to Auditorium Shores, Long Center, Republic Square, and Zilker Park; concerns around traffic impacts and visual impacts over Lady Bird Lake.
- BIPOC/Low-Income/Transit Riders supported this option because of ridership, access, and connectivity.
On-street light rail was seen as more affordable and providing more connectivity to the community.

- Consistent comments highlighting high ridership numbers and the ability to connect North Austin with Downtown and East Austin.
- Concerns around lack of access for South Austin.
- Feedback also included expanding the line past Yellow Jacket Lane to the Airport and expanding the line south.
- On-street light rail was seen as more affordable and providing more connectivity to the community.
- Trinity Street river crossing allows for connection to the east side of Downtown, the Convention Center, Rainey District, current and future jobs centers and housing, transit connections, and opportunities for future expansion; concerns around traffic and construction impacts.
- 1st Street river crossing allows for connections to Auditorium Shores, Long Center, Republic Square, and Zilker Park; concerns around traffic impacts and visual impacts over Lady Bird Lake.
- BIPOC/Low-Income/Transit Riders supported this option for connectivity, access, and ridership.
Community Feedback On Light Rail Options

On-Street: 29th To Airport

Community feedback on this option included:

- Comments around the importance of getting to the Airport, and connecting the Airport with Downtown and other transit connections such as the Red Line.
- On-street rail feedback was seen as more affordable and providing more connectivity to the community.
- This option was the most frequently discussed, and further analysis of all option comments showed a strong preference for reaching the Airport as a key destination.
- BIPOC/Low-Income/Transit Riders shared concerns over costs, ability to phase, and lack of access to other destinations; however, there was also support for reaching the airport.
Partial Elevated: 29th To Oltorf To Yellow Yacket

Community feedback on this option included:

- Access to key destinations, connectivity, and ridership as important.
- Additional concerns about cost and phasing were raised.
- Feedback also included expanding the line past Yellow Jacket Lane to the Airport and expanding the line further north and south.
- Elevated light rail was seen as more affordable than underground and faster than on-street.
- BIPOC/Low-Income/Transit Riders had concerns with connectivity, access, and ridership, as well as support related to operations and safety.
Community Feedback on Light Rail Options

Partial Underground: UT To Yellow Jacket

Community feedback on this option included:

- Concerns about cost, phasing, and ridership impacts.
- Lack of access to key destinations in South Austin was mentioned frequently.
- Feedback also included expanding the line past Yellow Jacket Lane to the Airport and expanding the line further North.
- Consideration that this would increase safety, reduce noise, and improve the aesthetics of the city.
- BIPOC/Low-Income/Transit Riders supported this option for better operations and expressed concerns over not reaching the airport and low ridership.
Demographic Data Analysis

Demographic information was requested, but not required, throughout the community dialogue period to inform ATP about who we were hearing from. The following charts show the demographic information, household income, and transit behaviors of individuals who participated in the Virtual Open House and chose to answer at least one demographic question.

Demographics: Mobility / Housing

Demographics: Age

Demographics: Income

Demographics: Transit Frequency

Demographics: Race/Ethnicity

Demographics: Gender & Sexuality

www.atptx.org
## AUSTIN LIGHT RAIL COMMUNITY DIALOGUE EVENTS
March 21 – May 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>EVENT</th>
<th>EVENT TYPE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/21</td>
<td>In-Person Open House</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>Austin Central Library, 710 W Cesar Chavez, 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/23</td>
<td>CapMetro Staff</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>CapMetro Offices, 700 Lavaca, 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/24</td>
<td>Movability</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/24</td>
<td>Trail Conservancy</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>ATP Offices - 203 Colorado St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27</td>
<td>Design Commission</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>Permitting and Development Center, 6310 Wilhelmina Delco, 78752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27</td>
<td>Montopolis Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Montopolis Recreation &amp; Community Center, 1200 Montopolis, 78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27</td>
<td>East Austin YMCA</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>5315 Ed Bluestein Blvd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28</td>
<td>Town Lake YMCA</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>1100 W Cesar Chavez St, 78703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28</td>
<td>LBJ Urban Economic Development Class</td>
<td>Community Conversations</td>
<td>LBJ School of Public Affairs, 2315 Red River St., 78712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/29</td>
<td>ATX Mobility Coalition</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Austin Area Urban League, 8011A Cameron Rd., 78754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/31</td>
<td>Meeting with Ann Teich</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>8716 Research Blvd, 78758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>North Austin YMCA</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>1000 W Rundberg Ln, 78758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>Zilker Park Kite Festival</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>Zilker Park, 78746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/3</td>
<td>Pedestrian Advisory Council</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>901 South Mopac EXY, 78746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>EVENT</td>
<td>EVENT TYPE</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/3</td>
<td>MLK Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>3701 E Martin Luther King Jr Blvd., 78721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/3</td>
<td>Central Austin Neighborhood Planning Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Lamar Senior Activity Center, 2874 Shoal Crest Ave, 78705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>Austin Lighthouse - Travis Association for the Blind</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>4512 S Pleasant Valley Rd., 78744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>Baptist Ministers Union/ Austin Area Urban League</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Mount Zion Fellowship Hall, 2938 E 13th St., 78702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>Urban Transportation Committee</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>Austin City Hall, Boards and Commission, 301 W 2nd St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>CapMetro ACCESS</td>
<td>Committee</td>
<td>Virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>East Riverside/Oltorf Combined Contact Team</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>Environmental Commission</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>Permitting and Development Center, 6310 Wilhelmina Delco, 78752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>Mobility Committee</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>Austin City Hall, Boards and Commission, 301 W 2nd St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>CapMetro Town Hall</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>ATP Community Update</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>Urbanist Happy Hour</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>1902 E 6th Street, 78702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>Central TX Labor</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>LGBTQ Quality of Life Commission</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Permitting and Development Center, 6310 Wilhelmina Delco, 78752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>EVENT</td>
<td>EVENT TYPE</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/11</td>
<td>Construction Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>One Texas Center, 505 Barton Springs Rd., 78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/11</td>
<td>Texas School for the Deaf</td>
<td>Open House</td>
<td>1102 S Congress Ave, 78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/11</td>
<td>ATP Finance and Risk Committee</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>Virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/11</td>
<td>ATP Joint Planning, Sustainability, Equity &amp; DBE/Engineering &amp; Architecture Committee</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>ATP Offices - 203 Colorado St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/11</td>
<td>Real Estate Council of Austin - Transportation Committee</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>98 San Jacinto, 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/12</td>
<td>Regional Mobility Summit</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Parmer Events Center, 900 Barton Springs Rd., 78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/12</td>
<td>Todos Juntos Health Fair</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>409 W Ben White, 78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/12</td>
<td>CapMetro Customer Service Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>Virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/13</td>
<td>Community Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>ATP Offices - 203 Colorado St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/14</td>
<td>Downtown Austin Alliance</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>515 Congress Ave., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/14</td>
<td>CapMetro Operations Committee</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>2910 East 5th Street, 78702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/15</td>
<td>Blacker the Block, Sweeter the Juice Block Party</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>1104 E 11th St, 78702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/15</td>
<td>League of United Latin American Citizens</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>6002 Jain Lane, Austin, TX 78721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>EVENT</td>
<td>EVENT TYPE</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/15</td>
<td>National Federation of the Blind</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/15</td>
<td>CAC Hosted Montopolis Neighborhood Meeting</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Ruiz Branch, Austin Public Library, 1600 Grove Blvd., 78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/17</td>
<td>Heritage Neighborhood of Austin</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Lamar Senior Activity Center, 2874 Shoal Crest Ave, 78705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/18</td>
<td>St. Edwards Open House</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>3001 S Congress Ave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/18</td>
<td>Building and Strengthening Tenant Action</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>8071 N Lamar Blvd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/18</td>
<td>Asian American Quality of Life Commission</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Austin City Hall, Boards and Commission, 301 W 2nd St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/18</td>
<td>Bicycle Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>901 South Mopac EXY (Building 5, Suite 300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/18</td>
<td>Austin Outside</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>ATP Offices – 301 Congress, 78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/18</td>
<td>Austin Community College – Highland Campus</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>6101 Highland Campus, 78752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/19</td>
<td>South Austin AARP</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>South Austin Senior Activity Center, 3911 Menchaca Rd., 78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/19</td>
<td>Young Professionals in Transportation – Redline Trail Roundtable</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>6015 Dillard Cir., 78752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/19</td>
<td>Austin Community College – Riverside Campus</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>1020 Grove Blvd., 78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/20</td>
<td>North Austin Civic Association</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>1000 W Rundberg Ln., 78758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/20</td>
<td>Farm &amp; City</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Capital Factory, 701 Brazos St, 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21</td>
<td>Austin Airport Earth Day</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>Austin-Bergstrom International Airport, 78719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22</td>
<td>Earth Day at HT</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>Huston-Tillotson, 900 Chicon St., 78702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>EVENTipediznoer</td>
<td>EVENT TYPE</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22</td>
<td>Earth Day Festival (NWACA Event)</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>Doss Elementary, 7005 Northledge Dr., 78731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22</td>
<td>Blockwalking Uphill and Yellow Jacket Lane</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>Uphill and Yellow Jacket Ln, 78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22</td>
<td>District 2 Townhall Event</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>The Union at Easton Park, 7604 Solari Dr., 78744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/23</td>
<td>Creative Action</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>1023 Springdale Rd., 78721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/24</td>
<td>American Institute of Architects Roundtable</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>801 W 12th Street, 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/24</td>
<td>Parks and Recreation Board</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>Austin City Hall, Boards and Commission, 301 W 2nd St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/24</td>
<td>Zilker Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>1801 Kinney Ave, 78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/24</td>
<td>Human Rights Commission</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>Austin City Hall, Boards and Commission, 301 W 2nd St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/25</td>
<td>University Area Partners</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>University Presbyterian Church, 2203 San Antonio St., 78705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/25</td>
<td>Housing &amp; Planning Committee</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>Austin City Hall, Boards and Commission, 301 W 2nd St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/25</td>
<td>Texas School for the Blind Mobility Team</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>1100 W 45th St, 78756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/25</td>
<td>Austin Young Chamber</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>6836 Austin Center Blvd., 78731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/25</td>
<td>Hispanic/Latino Quality of Life Resource Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>Austin City Hall, Boards and Commission, 301 W 2nd St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/25</td>
<td>Barton Hills Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>St. Mark's Episcopal Church, 2128 Barton Hills Dr., 78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/25</td>
<td>Planning Commission</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>Austin City Hall, Boards and Commission, 301 W 2nd St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>EVENT</td>
<td>EVENT TYPE</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/25</td>
<td>Yellow Jacket/ Uphill Lane Community Meeting</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Montopolis Recreation &amp; Community Center, 1200 Montopolis, 78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/26</td>
<td>South Congress Merchants</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>Güero’s Taco Bar, 1412 S Congress Ave., 78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/26</td>
<td>Greater Austin Asian Chamber of Commerce</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>8001 Centre Park Dr., 78754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/26</td>
<td>Joint Inclusion Committee</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>Austin City Hall, Boards and Commission, 301 W 2nd St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/26</td>
<td>Council Membeer Ellis Community Fair</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>Hampton Branch Library, 5125 Convict Hill Rd, 78749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/26</td>
<td>North Austin Community Event hosted by CAC and Council Member Vela</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Kick Butt Coffee, 5775 Airport Blvd., 78752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/26</td>
<td>Equity Action Team</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>1000 E 11th St., 78702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/26</td>
<td>Joint Sustainability Committee</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Permitting and Development Center, 6310 Wilhelmina Delco, 78752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/26</td>
<td>Austin Neighborhood Council</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/27</td>
<td>Four Seasons Residences + Four Seasons Hotel</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>98 San Jacinto, 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/27</td>
<td>Waterloo Greenway Conservancy Board</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>1111 Red River St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/27</td>
<td>Austin Community College - Rio Grande</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>1212 Rio Grande St., 78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/27</td>
<td>Urban Land Institute Young Leaders</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/28</td>
<td>Housing Authority City of Austin - Women’s Conference</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>2300 Rosewood Ave., 78702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/28</td>
<td>Criss Cole Rehabilitation Center</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>4800 N Lamar Blvd., 78756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>EVENT</td>
<td>EVENT TYPE</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/29</td>
<td>Council Member Kelly Open House</td>
<td>Community Event</td>
<td>10401 Anderson Mill Rd., 78750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>C9 Committee Meeting (UT Austin)</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>District 3 Light Rail Meeting hosted by Council Member Velásquez</td>
<td>Community Conversation</td>
<td>Virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>African American Resource Advisory Commission</td>
<td>Board, Commission, Committee</td>
<td>Permitting and Development Center, 6310 Wilhelmina Delco, 78752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## BUS STOP AND TRAIN STATION OUTREACH LOCATIONS
### March 21 – May 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STOP ID</th>
<th>STOP NAME</th>
<th>ROUTE ID NAME DIRECTION</th>
<th>ZIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2643</td>
<td>Lavaca/4th</td>
<td>10-South 1st/Red River-Northbound, 483-Night Owl Riverside-Northbound, 7-Duval / Dove Springs-Northbound, 20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Northbound, 486-Night Owl South Congress-Northbound, 30-Barton Creek/Bull Creek-Northbound</td>
<td>78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2738</td>
<td>Capitol Station (SB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Southbound, 982-Pavillion Express-Southbound, 20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Southbound, 30-Barton Creek/Bull Creek-Southbound, 3-Burnet/Manchaca-Southbound, 803-Burnet/S Lamar-Southbound, 985-Leander/Lakeline Direct-Northbound, 990-Manor/Elgin Express-Westbound, 481-Night Owl North Lamar-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound, 980-North Mopac Express-Northbound, 105-South 5th Flyer-Southbound</td>
<td>78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5534</td>
<td>Downtown Station</td>
<td>550-Metro Rail Red Line-Northbound, 550-Metro Rail Red Line-Southbound</td>
<td>78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2613</td>
<td>Guadalupe/4Th</td>
<td>20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Southbound, 5-Woodrow/East 12th-Westbound, 30-Barton Creek/Bull Creek-Southbound, 483-Night Owl Riverside-Southbound, 7-Duval / Dove Springs-Southbound, 2-Rosewood/Cesar Chavez-Southbound, 10-South 1st/Red River-Southbound, 486-Night Owl South Congress-Southbound</td>
<td>78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5866</td>
<td>Museum Station (SB)</td>
<td>803-Burnet/S Lamar-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound</td>
<td>78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOP ID</td>
<td>STOP NAME</td>
<td>ROUTE ID NAME DIRECTION</td>
<td>ZIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2606</td>
<td>Austin History Center Station (NB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Northbound, 3-Burnet/Manchaca-Northbound, 803-Burnet/S Lamar-Northbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Northbound</td>
<td>78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4657</td>
<td>Museum Station (NB)</td>
<td>803-Burnet/S Lamar-Northbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Northbound</td>
<td>78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501</td>
<td>Guadalupe/16th Street</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Southbound, 982-Pavilion Express-Southbound, 20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Southbound, 3-Burnet/Manchaca-Southbound, 990-Manor/Elgin Express-Westbound, 481-Night Owl North Lamar-Southbound, 18-Martin Luther King-Westbound, 980-North Mopac Express-Northbound, 105-South 5th Flyer-Southbound</td>
<td>78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591</td>
<td>Capitol Station (NB)</td>
<td>481-Night Owl North Lamar-Northbound, 1-North Lamar/South Congress-Northbound, 3-Burnet/Manchaca-Northbound, 803-Burnet/S Lamar-Northbound, 20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Northbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Northbound, 30-Barton Creek/Bull Creek-Northbound</td>
<td>78701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4046</td>
<td>Oltorf Station (SB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound, 486-Night Owl South Congress-Southbound</td>
<td>78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4026</td>
<td>Soco Station (NB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Northbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Northbound, 486-Night Owl South Congress-Northbound</td>
<td>78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOP ID</td>
<td>STOP NAME</td>
<td>ROUTE ID NAME DIRECTION</td>
<td>ZIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4039</td>
<td>Oltorf Station (NB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Northbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Northbound, 486-Night Owl South Congress-Northbound</td>
<td>78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2763</td>
<td>Vic Mathias/Auditorium Shores (SB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Southbound, 20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Southbound, 483-Night Owl Riverside-Southbound, 7-Duval / Dove Springs-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound, 486-Night Owl South Congress-Southbound</td>
<td>78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5869</td>
<td>St. Edwards Station (NB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Northbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Northbound, 486-Night Owl South Congress-Northbound</td>
<td>78704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5357</td>
<td>31St Street Station (SB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Southbound, 30-Barton Creek/Bull Creek-Southbound, 3-Burnet/Manchaca-Southbound, 803-Burnet/S Lamar-Southbound, 481-Night Owl North Lamar-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound</td>
<td>78705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5863</td>
<td>UT Dean Keeton Station (SB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Southbound, 30-Barton Creek/Bull Creek-Southbound, 3-Burnet/Manchaca-Southbound, 803-Burnet/S Lamar-Southbound, 481-Night Owl North Lamar-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound</td>
<td>78705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5864</td>
<td>UT Dean Keeton Station (NB)</td>
<td>803-Burnet/S Lamar-Northbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Northbound</td>
<td>78705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOP ID</td>
<td>STOP NAME</td>
<td>ROUTE ID NAME DIRECTION</td>
<td>ZIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>603</td>
<td>31St Street Station (NB)</td>
<td>481-Night Owl North Lamar-Northbound, 1-North Lamar/South Congress-Northbound, 3-Burnet/Manchaca-Northbound, 803-Burnet/S Lamar-Northbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Northbound, 30-Barton Creek/Bull Creek-Northbound</td>
<td>78705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5865</td>
<td>UT West Mall Station (NB)</td>
<td>803-Burnet/S Lamar-Northbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Northbound</td>
<td>78712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005</td>
<td>Riverside/Pleasant Valley</td>
<td>20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Southbound, 483-Night Owl Riverside-Southbound</td>
<td>78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3317</td>
<td>Riverside/Yellow Jacket</td>
<td>271-Del Valle-Eastbound, 20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Southbound</td>
<td>78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1032</td>
<td>Riverside/Town Creek</td>
<td>483-Night Owl Riverside-Northbound, 7-Duval / Dove Springs-Northbound, 20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Northbound</td>
<td>78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>Riverside/Montopolis</td>
<td>20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Northbound, 217-Montopolis Feeder-Southbound, 271-Del Valle-Westbound</td>
<td>78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1002</td>
<td>Riverside/Burton</td>
<td>20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Southbound, 483-Night Owl Riverside-Southbound, 7-Duval / Dove Springs-Southbound</td>
<td>78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5728</td>
<td>Riverside/Grove</td>
<td>310-Parker/Wickersham-Westbound, 20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Northbound</td>
<td>78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030</td>
<td>Riverside/Willow Creek</td>
<td>20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Northbound, 228-VA Clinic-Westbound</td>
<td>78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4692</td>
<td>Riverside/Airport Commerce</td>
<td>20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Northbound, 271-Del Valle-Westbound</td>
<td>78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6439</td>
<td>ACC Riverside @ Grove</td>
<td>310-Parker/Wickersham-Westbound, 350-Airport Blvd-Southbound, 310-Parker/Wickersham-Eastbound, 311-Stassney-Westbound, 311-Stassney-Eastbound, 350-Airport Blvd-Northbound</td>
<td>78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6442</td>
<td>Pleasant Valley/Riverside</td>
<td>20-Manor Rd/Riverside-Northbound</td>
<td>78741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6355</td>
<td>St. Elmo Station (NB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Northbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Northbound, 486-Night Owl South Congress-Northbound</td>
<td>78745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5551</td>
<td>South Congress Bay I</td>
<td>315-Ben White-Westbound, 315-Ben White-Eastbound</td>
<td>78745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOP ID</td>
<td>STOP NAME</td>
<td>ROUTE ID NAME DIRECTION</td>
<td>ZIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5873</td>
<td>Southpark Meadows Station</td>
<td>801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Northbound</td>
<td>78748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>484</td>
<td>Triangle Station (SB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Southbound, 990-Manor/Elgin Express-Westbound, 481-Night Owl North Lamar-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound</td>
<td>78751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5405</td>
<td>Hyde Park Station (SB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Southbound, 990-Manor/Elgin Express-Westbound, 481-Night Owl North Lamar-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound</td>
<td>78751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5537</td>
<td>Highland Station</td>
<td>550-Metro Rail Red Line-Northbound, 550-Metro Rail Red Line-Southbound</td>
<td>78752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5304</td>
<td>Tech Ridge Bay I</td>
<td>801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Northbound</td>
<td>78753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6360</td>
<td>North Loop East Station (SB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Southbound, 481-Night Owl North Lamar-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound</td>
<td>78756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5861</td>
<td>Brentwood Station (SB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Southbound, 481-Night Owl North Lamar-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound</td>
<td>78756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5877</td>
<td>Sunshine Station (SB)</td>
<td>5-Woodrow/EAST 12TH-Eastbound, 803-Burnet/S Lamar-Southbound, 345-45th-Eastbound</td>
<td>78756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5606</td>
<td>Crestview Station (SB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Southbound, 481-Night Owl North Lamar-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound</td>
<td>78757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467</td>
<td>Fairfield Station (SB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Southbound, 481-Night Owl North Lamar-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound</td>
<td>78758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5859</td>
<td>North Lamar Station</td>
<td>481-Night Owl North Lamar-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Northbound</td>
<td>78758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4540</td>
<td>Rundberg Station (SB)</td>
<td>1-North Lamar/South Congress-Southbound, 801-N Lamar S Congress-Southbound</td>
<td>78758</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Virtual Open House Comments by Zip Code
MEMORANDUM

TO: City of Austin Mayor and Council Members, Austin Transit Partnership Board Chair and Board Members, Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority Board Chair and Board Members

FROM: Awais Azhar, Chair, Project Connect Community Advisory Committee

CC: Greg Canally, Executive Director, Austin Transit Partnership
     Dottie Watkins, President and CEO, Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority
     Jesús Garza, Interim City Manager, City of Austin
     Robert Goode, Interim Assistant City Manager, City of Austin

DATE: May 11, 2023

SUBJECT: Project Connect Community Advisory Committee Austin Light Rail Implementation Recommendations

As the City of Austin, Austin Transit Partnership, and Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority move towards implementation of the first phase of the Austin Light Rail component of Project Connect, the Community Advisory Committee (CAC) recommends one of two light rail implementation options presented to the community and decision makers: On-Street: 38th to Oltorf to Yellow Jacket and On-Street: North Lamar to Pleasant Valley. The CAC makes this recommendation based on community feedback, conversations with stakeholders and staff, data analysis, and alignment with System-Wide Design Principles that the CAC created in advance of the options being released. Either one of these options would expand transit services for our community and provide certain opportunities, while faced by some challenges, as described below.

Commitment to Overall Austin Light Rail Vision and On-Street Service

The Project Connect program advances transit connectivity throughout our entire community by expanding MetroRail and MetroRapid Services, creating Austin’s first Light Rail system, and improving other transit service and infrastructure. The CAC fully supports the overall Austin Light Rail vision and asks that the leadership of all three parties explore innovative solutions to achieve full implementation of subsequent phases of the vision. The first phase of Austin Light Rail will play a critical role in expanding transit service in Austin. It is also important to plan for future expansions of the system, while
continuing the ongoing work to expand MetroRapid services in our community. It is necessary to ensure the Project Connect program proceeds with a focus on equitably expanding our transit system in a manner that supports the needs of existing riders and provides opportunities to expand ridership.

The CAC recommends the two on-street options for Austin Light Rail because of the greater number of riders served by these options and we believe on-street transit infrastructure will better serve our community at this time by providing seamless connections with other transit modes and active transportation elements, enhancing accessibility to minimize impacts for people with disabilities while also benefiting other users such as those with strollers, and activating the street-level through a better interface between the transit system and the urban sphere.

**Opportunities and Challenges of Recommended Options**

Both options recommended by the CAC provide certain opportunities, while facing specific challenges. The two recommended options provide the greatest coverage in terms of number of stations and overall system length out of the five options, have higher ridership figures, and provide greater interaction with other mobility modes. The recommended options overall serve a similar number of people and people living in poverty and provide access to a similar number of affordable housing units and low-wage jobs. Furthermore, both of these options provide greater accessibility for people with disabilities and other users such as those utilizing a stroller. However, these options also require significant utility relocations and mitigation of other factors.

From the perspective of equitably expanding transit services, the 38th to Oltorf to Yellow Jacket option serves more Black, Indigenous, and people of color. This option also provides a starter segment on all three legs of the full Austin Light Rail vision plan, allowing for rapid expansion in the future. Furthermore, this option provides better transit services to South Congress (SoCo), giving Austinites alternatives to congested roads and associated parking issues in the SoCo commercial area. At the same time, out of the two recommended options, this option does not serve the most riders or provide access to the most jobs. In addition, while this option allows rail service to be extended to the communities east of Pleasant Valley, locating the maintenance facility in East Austin will necessitate mitigation of any potential impacts and engaging with the neighboring community throughout the design process to address the concerns they have voiced. If, during further planning for this option, the opportunity should arise to build only one of the two proposed flex segments in the first phase of the light rail implementation, the CAC recommends that priority is given to segments that serve the most riders.

The North Lamar to Pleasant Valley option serves the most riders and connects the service to the greatest number of jobs. It provides a critical connection to the North Lamar Transit Center, enhancing connectivity with North Austin and offering opportunities for creating Equitable Transit Oriented Development (ETOD) at that publicly owned site. It also provides a connection with the Red Line MetroRail at the Crestview station. Furthermore, with enhanced design elements, similar to what is recommended below, this option could provide opportunities for seamless future expansion of the light rail system. However, this option includes elements such as construction in the public right-of-way and

---

1 The data analysis for this section is supported by work completed by Austin Transit Partnership Staff and Dr. Alex Karner, Ex-Officio member of the CAC.
conflicts between the Red Line and light rail that need to be resolved and addressed in the future. In addition, while this option allows rail service to be extended to the communities North of US Highway 183, locating the maintenance facility at the proposed location necessitates further engagement with the neighboring community in the future. Should the North Lamar to Pleasant Valley option be selected, the CAC recommends that tie-in infrastructure, including track switch, be included in the initial phase of development to allow for expandability of the light rail system with minimal disruption to future light rail operations.

Regardless of the option selected for light rail in Austin, it is necessary to address any potential impacts of the maintenance facility on the surrounding communities and to engage with stakeholders in those communities during the design process. Furthermore, the selected light rail option must be aligned with the existing bus and active transportation networks to provide seamless connections for riders. It is also important to ensure that land-use policies, affordable housing opportunities, and anti-displacement efforts support the initial light rail infrastructure to ensure that the greatest number of Austinites can access and benefit from the system equitably.

Maintenance Facility Recommendations

To ensure that any future maintenance facility created as a part of Austin Light Rail does not have a potential disparate impact on the surrounding community, we ask that the following be considered.

- As design advances, including during parts of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review process, ensure that there is continued engagement with the community through transparent processes that embody the following principles:
  - Ensure that environmental review documentation is shared with the public in a transparent and timely fashion.
  - Ensure that public engagement efforts are aligned with the Community Engagement and Inclusion Commitments included in the Joint Powers Agreement.
  - Ensure that the environmental review considers impacts from an environmental justice perspective.
  - As appropriate, include the CAC in future design discussions, including the NEPA review process.

- Depending on findings from the NEPA review process, ensure that there is ongoing environmental evaluation and transparency by undertaking the following:
  - If warranted by the Environmental Impact Statement, commit to periodically monitor any potential air quality and noise impacts throughout the lifetime of the project.
  - If monitoring is necessary, ensure data is shared periodically with the Community Advisory Committee in a meeting open to the public.
  - If monitoring is necessary, share data on an easily accessible webpage for the public to review.

- Ensure that the design of the facility supports community needs and is environmentally sustainable using the following approaches:
○ Engage with the surrounding community and the Community Advisory Committee in the design of the facility.
○ Minimize impacts on any adjacent residential uses, including any site utilized for providing shelter to unhoused neighbors.
○ If there is to be any fuel storage, ensure that there is no underground fuel storage.
○ Ensure that there is proper on-site stormwater mitigation.
○ If possible, allow direct pedestrian access to the facility from the surrounding neighborhood, to allow workers and community members to access the site easily.
○ If a sound wall or other solid barrier is built, consider working with the community on a public arts project similar to that for the former Holly Power Plant.
○ Utilize green building techniques and advanced environmental standards.
○ Preserve or relocate as many heritage trees on the site as possible.

- Ensure that there is proper noise and light mitigation, where necessary, using the following approaches:
  ○ Utilize a landscape buffer and setbacks from residential uses.
  ○ Where necessary, create a sound wall or other solid barrier.
  ○ Utilize shielded and directional light fixtures.
  ○ If necessary, provide adjoining residential property owners the opportunity to soundproof openings in the direction of the facility, this includes both owner and renter-occupied properties.
  ○ Ensure that there is minimized idling of non-light rail vehicles on the site.

- Provide the surrounding community with benefits that serve their needs, including, but not limited to, the following:
  ○ Explore the potential for education and workforce partnerships with local school districts and community college to create work opportunities for local residents during the construction and operation phases.
  ○ Within the constraints of federal guidelines, create internal and external spaces that are accessible to the community for hosting meetings, holding community gatherings, and other activities.
  ○ Explore the ability to provide walking paths on the periphery of the facility.
  ○ Proactively work to ensure the participation of Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the project, with an aim to support business of all types.
  ○ Explore opportunities to incorporate public art programs, such as those at the former Holly Power Plant site.
  ○ Ensure that all community spaces provided on the site, or surrounding it, are accessible for people with disabilities and other users.

Austin Light Rail will increase connectivity and accessibility in our community by providing an enhanced transit experience. The CAC sees great opportunities for the Austin community with both the 38th to Oltorf to Yellow Jacket and North Lamar to Pleasant Valley options. The CAC wishes to thank the Austin Transit Partnership for their commendable community engagement efforts, supporting the CAC through
this decision-making process, and providing us with all necessary information to make these recommendations.

If you should have any questions, I can be reached at bc-awais.azhar@austintexas.gov.

Date of Approval: May 11, 2023

Record of the vote: Approved on a 11-0-0 vote (Committee Member Elias absent; one vacancy)

Attest: [Signature], Project Connect Community Advisory Committee Chair
May 2, 2023

Austin Transit Partnership
Re: Project Connect — Waterloo Greenway Conservancy Public Comment

Dear Austin Transit Partnership,

Since 2019, Waterloo Greenway Conservancy has supported Project Connect’s vision for a more connected Austin community and participated in the Transit Forward coalition. Waterloo Greenway Conservancy believes it is important to improve transit access to downtown Austin for both quality of life benefits and supporting equitable development. Providing the community with safe, reliable, and affordable transit options will also connect hundreds of thousands of Austinites with the community-centered programs and amenities at Waterloo Greenway. The second phase of Waterloo Greenway will break ground this May, creating new bike and pedestrian trails, with parkland amenities and a restored landscape that would enhance access to Project Connect’s lake crossing.

After reviewing the recent update to the Project Connect Phase 1 plan, we would like to share the following feedback and recommendations based on the information we have available today:

1. **Prioritize access to the Eastern Side of Downtown:** The Trinity St. crossing is critical to providing more direct, accessible transit to the eastern side of downtown and Waterloo Greenway. This option brings a station within close walking distance of the Greenway, the ESB-MACC, Palm School, and the Rainey Street Historic District, connecting more of our community with the public amenities in and around Waterloo Greenway. Enhanced access to eastern downtown would also support the vision for a well-connected and accessible Palm District that seeks to encourage walking, biking, public transit use, and leverage the significant investments around Project Connect transit lines to foster pedestrian friendly places. We encourage planning additional transit segments to enhance access from the Convention Center, continuing northward to better connect to the Palm and Innovation Districts.
2. **Prioritize construction of a new bridge at Trinity St.**: A bridge at Trinity St. provides a pedestrian and bicycle connection across Lady Bird Lake at the southern terminus of the Waterloo Greenway. This will open up access to South Austin and the development of the South-Central Waterfront. It will also provide a safe pedestrian and bicycle connection on the eastern side of the lake, aligning with one of the important goals in the Waterloo Greenway Design Plan.

3. **Support a transit-oriented vision for the growth of the eastern side of downtown**: The area around Waterloo Greenway represents the largest remaining opportunity for growth in downtown Austin. With approximately ten private development projects either planned or under construction in the Rainey Street Historic District, Project Connect has an opportunity to shape more transit-oriented development patterns and reduce reliance on single-occupancy vehicles, as this area continues to grow and develop.

4. **Connect as many community members as possible to Waterloo Greenway**: Project Connect provides an opportunity to connect our community to Waterloo Greenway like never before, leveraging the significant public and community investment in our 35-acre park system. Light rail access on the eastern side of downtown will allow more people to visit downtown safely and affordably, augmented by potential caps extending connectivity to East Austin across IH-35. We believe it is important that Phase 1 serves as many potential riders as possible.

5. **Prioritize pedestrian-oriented design**: Prioritize the experience of pedestrians over vehicles when redesigning the streets to accommodate light rail. Waterloo Greenway is part of reshaping our downtown as a pedestrian and bike friendly place for all ages and abilities. The design of the downtown rail system should support and enhance these goals, as articulated in the Waller Creek District Design Guidelines and reinforced by the Waterloo Greenway Design Plan.

6. **Minimize impacts to parks and open space**: While envisioning the future of Austin transit’s system, existing parks and open spaces should be protected to the fullest extent possible, including native habitats and our beloved tree canopy.

We encourage Austin Transit Partnership to consider the significant opportunity to better serve eastern downtown through a Trinity alignment crossing and opportunity to build upon Waterloo Greenway’s investment towards a 1.5-mile connected park system.

Sincerely,

Jesús Aguirre
Waterloo Greenway Conservancy, CEO
May 1, 2023

Members of the Austin Transit Partnership:

On behalf of the members of AIA Austin, thank you for your tireless efforts in promoting and expanding transit options across our community. As architects, we understand that a robust and efficient transit system provides a more equitable and sustainable urban fabric. And as you proceed through your public engagement period, we hope this letter can provide a brief outline of this organization’s priorities, for your consideration at every crucial decision point along the way.

- **Encourage Affordability:** We understand that the current options for light rail are somewhat scaled back from the original blue/orange lines, mainly due to price escalation and the demands of initial investment in maintenance facilities, etc. As Project Connect hears public opinion on the five options and their sub-options, we encourage you to keep equity and inclusivity as a core value. The establishment of a light rail system is inherently inclusive. Beyond that, we ask that you give overwhelming preference to Austin’s communities that are historically disenfranchised, to ensure that these families can retain their crucial places within our growing city.

- **Data-Driven Decisions:** The five light rail options each present a different set of priorities. When considering the best path forward, we encourage you to give considerable weight to the data points that indicate the most effective route. These points include maximizing ridership, distance, and transfer opportunities, while also considering the delicate balance between speed and access/reach of stops.

- **Quality of the Street Experience:** The benefits of promoting a positive street life are very well known. Improved transit can be a tool to deemphasize our reliance on automobiles, and reestablish priority to people, businesses, places, and parks. AIA Austin encourages Project Connect to consider some of the challenges that elevated lines might create within a thriving urban fabric that puts people at its center.

- **Alignment with Precedents:** Austin has several previously established urban studies that could prove beneficial to Project Connect’s efforts. These include: Design Commission’s 2009 *Urban Design Guidelines for Austin*, which focuses on creating quality public space downtown; *The Great Streets Plan*, established in 2001 to provide equitable roadway/sidewalk zones and enhanced streetscapes; the *Austin Strategic Mobility Plan* (ASMP) that prioritizes equity, accessibility, and affordability; the *Downtown Austin Plan*, which establishes Downtown Austin as a destination, meant to be experienced and not passed through; and the *Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan*, adopted in 2012 as a designed embodiment of the city’s high standards of maintaining sustainable, diverse, and equitable opportunities. We hope that you continue to refer to these guidelines at crucial decision-making points along the way.

Like you, the members of AIA Austin are committed to helping the city make sound, safe, and informed decisions for the betterment of the people of our city. We look forward to being a resource to you as you move this transit plan forward.

Christy Taylor, AIA
AIA Austin President, 2023
Greg Canally  
Executive Director  
Austin Transit Partnership  

May 2, 2023  

Mr. Canally,  

On behalf of the membership of AURA, Austin’s largest grassroots, pro-transit organization, we wanted to share our feedback regarding the current ATP Project Connect options.  

First, we want to express our appreciation for ATP staff and engineers for their availability and helpfulness during the public input process. Throughout our discussion process, ATP provided detailed information and answered numerous questions. This was crucial for the success of the AURA Project Connect Working Group and allowed us to conduct a comprehensive and holistic evaluation of each Project Connect option. We appreciate their support and engagement.  

To analyze all light rail options and the future of transit in Austin, the all-volunteer AURA Project Connect Working Group built a robust evaluation framework. Our goal was to equip Austinites with the necessary information to understand and contextualize each option’s impact on the city. Additionally, we aimed to provide a formal recommendation to inform an official endorsement vote of the AURA membership. After extensive research and investigation, the AURA Project Connect Working Group confidently settled on a specific recommendation: On-Street 38th to Olforf to Yellow Jacket with the South 1st river crossing.  

While all options are undoubtedly better than the current lack of any light rail, the working group has recommended the 38th to Olforf to Yellow Jacket option because it lays the best foundation for the city overall. It balances every key criterion while providing a foundation that enables us to quickly and iteratively expand the system, achieve our mode shift goals, and connect every part of Austin. Although the lack of grade separation and connections to valuable destinations such as the airport are valid concerns, the working group believes that these issues can be mitigated or resolved while retaining the strengths of this initial build option. You can see the full output of the working group (recommendation, evaluation matrix, and reports) at [https://aura-atx.org/project-connect-working-group-recommends-on-street-38th-to-oltorf-to-yellow-jacket-light-rail-option/](https://aura-atx.org/project-connect-working-group-recommends-on-street-38th-to-oltorf-to-yellow-jacket-light-rail-option/).  

The AURA membership also chose to endorse the On-Street 38th to Olforf to Yellow Jacket rail option, but to also support all of the rail options. This choice shows continued, strong support for Project Connect, and that any of the light rail plans would be vastly preferred to Austin’s current lack of light rail. It’s worth noting that the vote was narrowly won, the On-Street North Lamar to Pleasant Valley option was a very close runner-up. We believe this shows the membership greatly values high ridership and believes that the significant compromises necessary for full grade separation through downtown are not worth it. In making this decision, we recognize that without grade separation our shared responsibility as transit planners and advocates will be
even greater to ensure that private vehicles are given as few opportunities as possible to interfere with our more efficient and higher capacity transit options. AURA members also voted with a strong preference for the South 1st crossing and that the Austin Airport connections should not be a high priority for Project Connect’s initial phase.

Thank you for carefully considering our input and we are excited to collaborate with Austin Transit Partnership, Austin City Council, and CapMetro to make Project Connect an historic success.

Sincerely,
AURA Board, on behalf of the AURA members

Cc: Members of the Austin Transit Partnership Board
May 2, 2023

Greg Canally  
Executive Director  
Austin Transit Partnership  
203 Colorado Street  
Austin, Texas 78701

Re: Austin Transit Partnership Light Rail Open House

Dear Mr. Canally,

On behalf of the Board of Directors of CNU-CTX, I wish to thank the staff at Austin Transit Partnership for presenting the revised plans for the initial phase of Austin’s Light Rail investment. We understand that all plans have to be equally grounded in fiscal reality and aspirational ambition to actually transform our city, and we appreciate the straightforward way in which the options have been presented to the community. Please note that CNU-CTX Board Member Veronica Castro de Barrera, who serves on the ATP Board as Chair, has recused herself from the discussion and vote on this topic.

There are two large impending infrastructure projects that will largely determine the shape Austin takes for many decades: Project Connect and I-35. Project Connect, if done well, will be a massive step forward toward realizing the promise of our City’s Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan to grow as a compact and connected city, whereas the I-35 expansion will be a massive step backwards. If ATP makes the right decisions over the coming decades, we’ll come to understand the wisdom of this generation of Austinites’ choice to build a robust transit backbone and the folly of TxDOT’s pursuit of illusory “congestion relief” will be laid bare.

We think the following principles will give the initial phase of Project Connect the best chance of success:

**Route:** The initial route should maximize ridership potential connecting the major employment centers and destinations to the greatest number of potential riders. This primary need for ridership should also be balanced against certain equitable considerations in creating a system that reaches a broad sector of the community and that builds confidence in the voting populace that this investment is for all of Austin and all of Austinites. The data indicate that the North Lamar to Pleasant Valley route will maximize the potential for ridership on this initial phase and provide a strong backbone upon which we can build out a full-fledged transit system. We also think there are many attractive qualities about the 38th to Oltorf to Yellow Jacket route (in which case we think an extension to 45th is the preferable option). We think starting with a solid first phase with one of these two options will provide the community with the best chance at seeing the fulfillment of the ultimate complete vision of Project Connect. We hope that subsequent phases to expand the system and serve more parts of the city will be rapidly planned and built so that there is minimal delay in achieving a complete system.
**Grade Separation:** While there might be certain tradeoffs between operational efficiencies and convenience, we believe that surface running light rail has the potential to offer the best user experience if designed appropriately. We feel that the options that have the transit that runs in dedicated transit pathways on the surface to be the preferred option and that while grade separation avoids conflicts with automobiles and existing infrastructure, it makes sense to run the system primarily at street level (in dedicated ROW) where it can be accessed comfortably and conveniently by pedestrians. However, for surface running transit to be truly successful the design of the public realm and the streets surrounding stations must prioritize pedestrians and micro mobility and deprioritize private automobiles.

**The Public Realm and Street Design:** We feel that running transit on the streets is only a benefit if we also take the opportunity to truly remake those streets to be safe, comfortable, pleasant, and interesting for pedestrians. Streets comprise the largest fraction of Austin’s public realm and we should do a better job of making them places for people. Every transit trip begins and ends with someone using an active transportation option (walk, bike, scooter, etc.). We must design the streets and places that we run transit to prioritize their needs. From the renderings presented, there is work to be done here and we encourage Capital Metro, ATP, and the City of Austin to work together to shift the allocation of space and design of these roadway away from prioritizing automobiles and towards the people who will ultimately be using the transit system.

To that end, we continue to support a car-free Drag and we also support looking at additional route segments for car-free streets. While there won’t be one right design for all places, generally the following should be observed throughout the routes:

- Sidewalks along the route and on cross streets leading to stations should be wide and generous to accommodate increased pedestrian traffic.
- Street trees (located between the sidewalk and the roadbed) should be considered an essential element of street design on the routes and cross streets to the stations. There are many benefits to street trees including providing shade, evaporative cooling, improved street safety for all modes and softening the noise and hard edges of a busy city. Street trees can greatly enhance the safety, comfort, and utility of transit options.
- Slip lanes should be eliminated surrounding transit stations and on other intersections along likely pedestrian and micro mobility routes to the station.
- Where feasible we should have dedicated lanes for active micro-mobility transportation users.
- Streets should prioritize moving pedestrians first, micro mobility second, transit third, and cars last. Transit streets, especially downtown, should be designed to discourage through traffic for automobiles while providing necessary access for deliveries to businesses and to existing driveways and emergency access along the route.
- Just as our transit system operates as a network, our active transportation system should operate as a complete and connected network, with safe crossings, continuous sidewalks, and continuous micro mobility lanes. Our transit and active transportation networks should always work together to provide comfortable, connected, safe, and seamless trips.
- We should remake streets as complex places and consider the multiple user types and ways in which people use the public realm - introduce elements that make the street an enjoyable destination itself, a place to linger, and not just travel through. Elements might include sidewalk cafes, public art, street furniture, water fountains, places for buskers, kiosks or other places for vendors or food carts.

**Land Use:** While this is not something that ATP can do on its own, we want to stress that transportation and land use are not separate issues. We build transportation to serve land use and the land use we choose to build will determine the success of that transportation. We are making a multi-billion-dollar investment of taxpayer money in transit, and we owe it to the taxpayers to
give that investment every chance of success. To that end, we should look at all land in close proximity to stations as an opportunity to increase the intensity and bring as wide as possible diversity of housing options so that as wide as possible diversity of people can be best served with this investment into our transit network. Moreover, in the immediate station areas, we should encourage land uses that provide conveniences and serve the daily needs of transit riders. Creating station area plans along the entire network of high frequency transit options will put us in the best position to de-emphasize travel by automobile and reduce VMT over the course of the next century. Finally, if we are going to prioritize housing in and near our transit corridors (as we should), then it is also an imperative upon the city to make these corridors quality places for people to live their lives.

If we do this correctly, we’ll lay down the foundation for being the kind of city that matches our aspirations. We appreciate your consideration of these important items.

Very respectfully yours,

Mateo Barnstone, Director
CNU-CTX

CC:

Peter.Mullan@atptx.org
lonny.stern@atptx.org
input@atptx.org

Kirk Watson kirk.watson@austintexas.gov
Paige Ellis paige.ellis@austintexas.gov
Zohaib Qadri zo.qadri@austintexas.gov
Natasha Harper-Madison natasha.madison@austintexas.gov
Vanessa Fuentes vanessa.fuentes@austintexas.gov
Jose Velasquez jose.velasquez@austintexas.gov
Chito Vela chito.vela@austintexas.gov
Ryan Alter ryan.alter@austintexas.gov
Mackenzie Kelly mackenzie.kelly@austintexas.gov
Leslie Pool leslie.pool@austintexas.gov
Alison Alter alison.alter@austintexas.gov

atpboardinfo@atptx.org
BoardOfDirectors@CapMetro.org
BOARD/COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION: 20230502-005

Recommendation Number 20230502-005: Project Connect Light Rail Implementation

WHEREAS that the UTC affirms that surface-running light rail is a good option to address the cost estimates for the Project Connect light rail plan, that can be built in a manner that ensures reliability, and that surface-running light rail has many advantages including access for users of all abilities; and

WHEREAS in every city in the United States with light rail has more bus boardings than rail boardings; and

WHEREAS even after the completion of the light rail lines bus ridership in Austin is likely to exceed rail ridership; and

WHEREAS rapid transfers between bus and light rail will be needed to maximize light rail ridership; and

WHEREAS the UTC believes that high ridership on the first segment to be completed will have a significant impact on public support for further system expansion; and WHEREAS, most residents of Austin fly infrequently, extending the route to the airport limits the first phase’s reach into high ridership communities, and the airport is already served by the high-frequency bus route 20; and

WHEREAS Project Connect planning processes in 2019 identified the area around Rutland and Lamar as having high ridership potential for the Orange Line; and WHEREAS that area has a high proportion of people of color; and

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the UTC recommends the North Lamar Transit Center to Pleasant Valley option because is it has significantly higher forecast ridership than the other options, connects well with existing bus routes and the Red Line, has the lowest cost per forecast rider, and because following phases could easily extend service from North Lamar Transit Center to higher-ridership areas with great equity impact; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the UTC also recommends the 38th to Oltorf to Yellow Jacket option, as it is the second highest forecasted ridership option, has high frequency on the main
spine, builds a desired leg to South Congress, and to a greater extent, avoids right-of-way not controlled by the City of Austin; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the UTC also recommends that Austin Transit Partnership (ATP) seek any cost-saving or external funding measures that might enable the best parts of the two recommended plans to influence one another, for example, if the North Lamar to Pleasant Valley option is chosen building the “Y” segment for a leg to South Congress, or for the 38th to Oltorf to Yellow Jacket option is chosen, extending the line on North Lamar, as far past 38th Street as possible, ideally to Crestview Station; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the UTC requests that ATP releases the forecast number of bus transfers at each proposed light rail station and that as the design for the stations evolves, ATP provide information about how fast bus/train transfers are forecast to be; and

BE IT RESOLVED that the UTC requests Austin City Council direct Austin Transportation and Public Works Department to create a Project Connect Ordinance 2.0 that will crystallize the street-level changes needed to support a surface running rail system in downtown Austin, and bring this ordinance to City Council within 6 months of the selection of the preferred light rail implementation option. Items to be covered in this ordinance could include, but are not limited to: parking garage entries and exits and curb cuts, street and intersection closures, street space reallocation on both Guadalupe and Lavaca, street directionality changes, signal timing, and pedestrianization of streets.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the UTC requests ATP and CapMetro evaluate options to enhance MetroRapid service on corridors that are potential future light rail expansion routes. These evaluations should include whether “rail ready” Bus Rapid Transit with Dedicated Median Bus Lanes and light rail style platforms can be developed along these corridors to provide a high level of service and quickly transition these areas to light rail when funding is available; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the UTC also recommends that ATP engage the City of Austin, relevant stakeholders, and the public following the selection of a preferred light rail implementation option, in a scoping project for multimodal investments to ensure light rail integrates with and expands Austin’s existing and future pedestrian, bicycle, and transit connections. In keeping with the Contract with the Voters, ATP should prioritize ROW for pedestrians, cyclists, micro-mobility users, and other transit options over preserving access for private auto traffic; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the UTC also recommends that if any part of the preferred light rail implementation option will require ROW acquisition from TxDOT, ATP should designate and publish an alternative plan in the event that ROW is not granted by TxDOT; and
Date of Approval: May 2, 2023

Record of the vote: 7-0 vote with Commissioner Wayne absent

Attest: Christopher Parks, Staff Liaison
May 2, 2023

Mr. Greg Canally
Executive Director
Project Connect
Austin, Texas

Dear Mr. Canally,

LULAC District VII is providing you and the Board of Directors with our feedback to your recent scenarios for the startup of LRT.

1. **Light Rail Scenarios.** LULAC understands the trade-offs of the various scenario trade-offs with the goal of staying within a system that is now significantly over budget. Part of LULAC’s support for the November 2020 Proposition A election was that equity would be a large part of the plan elements. In particular LULAC’s interest was that the Green Line could be implemented in a timely fashion along with key expansions of Metrorapid and Metroexpress including neighborhood circulators. The other aspect our support was that $300 million was programmed for anti-displacement.

   The five plans presented for the Project Connect’s first phase does not include the Green Line. The Green Line operating on a freight line extending east would provide critical connectivity to other corridors with options to travel to jobs, education, and social, housing, health, and other services within Austin and the surrounding areas. LULAC recently completed a demographic study, and the findings show that most of the working and poverty populations are located northeast and east outside of central Austin.

   LULAC aligns with several of the recent comments made at the City Council Housing and Planning Committee discussing the light rail designs. Natasha Harper-Madison raised the issue of lack of northeast and east rail lines, *“We have 365,000 workers in our hospitality industry in this city. Where do the people working at the Hilton, and at the W, where do they live? You don’t wanna know. They out here riding with no inspection because they have to…they just spent their whole income tax return on a car because they had to. All of these things have a direct impact on people’s lives.”*

   Given that the Green Line will be substantially delayed LULAC recommends that Project Connect take a hard look at fast-tracking and implementing the Green Line as soon as possible.

2. **Workforce Development.** LULAC appreciates that Project Connect has contributed $300,000 in planning funds to the development and implementation of a workforce plan. LULAC is calling on Project Connect to allocate substantial funds to ensure that the workplan is implemented. Project Connect should provide resources and support to recruit, retain, and train transit works to ensure that the Austin transit industry has the workforce needed for today and the future. Elements of the support should include:
League of United Latin American Citizens  
District VII  
Austin, Texas

- Cooperative agreements with various agencies to develop innovative workforce projects
- Reports and information on the results of those projects
- A new transit workforce technical assistance program

The partnership with the Workforce Solutions Capital Area (WFS) is a good start. LULAC has had concerns about WFS’s impact. Reviewing their impact reports, WFS has significantly lagged behind in meeting goals in helping residents secure middle-skill jobs and move out of poverty. It is obvious that Project Connect go beyond just a partnership with WFS and consider other innovative approaches including:

- Developing apprenticeships, on the job training, and instructional training for public transportation maintenance and operations occupations
- Building local, regional, and statewide public transportation training partnerships with local operators, labor union organizations, workforce development boards, and other agencies to identify and address workforce skill gaps
- Improving safety, security, and emergency preparedness in local public transportation systems through improved safety culture and workforce communication with first responders and the riding public
- Addressing current or projected workforce shortages by developing partnerships with high schools, community college, and other community organizations

LULAC recommends that Project Connect allocated a sufficient and significant budget (millions) for workforce development.

3. Project Connect’s $300 million for anti-displacement. LULAC is still evaluating the amounts allocated for anti-displacement including the $20 million going to nonprofits including the anti-displacement strategies especially in the context of the Federal Transit Administration’s Title VI Disparate Impact and Disproportionate Burden Policy.

The published anti-displacement investment timeline shows $100 million for years 1-3; $100 million for years 4-8; and $100 million for years 9-13. The funding is being allocated for land acquisition, preservation and rehabilitation; affordable housing development; programs; and other strategies addressing immediate needs; and staffing, community engagement and marketing.

Since Project Connect’s budget has grown from $7.1 billion to over $11.6 billion. A portion of the anti-displacement budget is for land acquisition and affordable housing which is also subject to the same inflationary influence that has affected the Project Connect budget. A $300 million will not suffice with the increases in costs that the Project Connect has experienced.

LULAC strongly recommend that the Project Connect budget for anti-displacement double it to an investment to at least $600 million.

4. Project Connect financing. LULAC supports Mayor Watson’s strategy to work with the Texas House and Senate to obtain voter approval for any debt issuances that incorporate considerations that align
with the City of Austin debt issuance features. The voters will appreciate the transparency and accountability to approve any debt issuances. LULAC has always had a concern that allowed Project Connect to issue unauthorized debt through certificates of obligation.

An election should be held as soon as possible to allow the Federal Transit Administration and secure federal funding as soon as possible. LULAC will looking for an increased budget for anti-displacement and fast-track of the Green Line.

Project Connect should take notice that the City of Austin has “an enormous of unissued debt - $1.8 billion,” in the word of Interim City Manager Jesus Garza. Recently the City has advised that it not advisable to have another bond election until 2026.

**LULAC recommends that Project Connect take the opportunity to include additional funding for affordable housing, workforce development, and fast-tracking the Green Line in a November election.**

LULAC also has comments on Project Equitable Transit Orientation that it will present in a detailed report at a later date.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide our comments.

Sincerely,

*Cynthia Valadez*

Cynthia Valadez
LULAC District VII Director
April 21, 2023

Austin Transit Partnership

Re: Project Connect and The Ann and Roy Butler Hike and Bike Trail at Lady Bird Lake

Dear Austin Transit Partnership:

On behalf of The Trail Conservancy, we are writing to provide our feedback on the five options presented during the recent public engagement process for Project Connect.

The Trail Conservancy (TTC) serves as the formal partner to the City of Austin for all of Town Lake Metropolitan Park and the Ann and Roy Butler Hike and Bike Trail (collectively “The Trail”). We manage day-to-day operations on the almost 300 acres of space that make up the Trail, as well as oversee capital improvements and programming on the Trail. Because the Trail receives over 5 million visits annually it is vital that ATP take into account impacts to the Trail when it decides among the options it is currently considering.

A few preliminary remarks:

- TTC has limited its feedback solely to impacts on the Trail. It does not take any position on the many other issues presented by the five options (where the routes should be, whether rail should be underground, whether it serves the airport, etc.).
- Collectively, the five options present only two locations at which the rail line will cross Lady Bird Lake, and thus the Trail. Given this, our focus here is on the lake crossing options, and primarily upon their location.
- There was not a lot of detail provided about the options to cross Lady Bird Lake. In our meeting we were shown some slides that addressed the crossings. We asked for copies of those slides so we could study them in more detail, but to date we have not received them. Thus, our comments are based on the limited information we have, and our feedback could change if we knew more.
- In forming our feedback we’ve obtained the input of our staff, as well as the full board of TTC. We also called upon all that we’ve learned in our 20 years of engaging the community to find out what it does, and does not, want to see on the Trail. Finally, we’ve looked at the options through the lens of our mission: “to protect, enhance, and connect the Ann and Roy Butler Hike-and-Bike Trail for the benefit of all.” In our analysis, we landed on three primary criteria to evaluate the options:
  - Which crossing provides the greatest access to the Trail;
  - What impact will the crossings have on the Trail environment; and
  - What impact will the crossings have on Trail users.
Having said all of this, and applying these criteria, we believe that the Trinity Street crossing is preferable. This is how we got there.

**Access to the Trail:** One of our primary missions is to make the Trail accessible to all of Austin. As Austin has grown, it has gotten more and more difficult for those who do not live adjacent to the Trail to get there. A light rail system has the potential to remedy that.

We believe that the Trinity crossing will offer the most access to the Trail for several reasons. First, it includes stations close to the Trail on both sides of Lady Bird Lake. Moreover, a pedestrian bridge at the Trinity location provides a new, additional lake crossing, opening up the north and south sides of the Trail to areas not currently served by a bridge. On the other hand, there are already several options for pedestrians to cross the lake in the immediate vicinity of a South 1st crossing.

Further, our mission also includes connecting the Trail with other parts of Austin. Waterloo Greenway is about to break ground on its Phase II project from the confluence of Waller Creek and Lady Bird Lake to Fourth Street, connecting the Waller Creek Trail with the Butler Trail. The Trinity crossing provides a rail station adjacent to this junction, providing many more people access to these soon-to-be-connected trails.

**The Environment:** We also believe that the Trinity crossing minimizes the environmental consequences that could flow from a rail line crossing the Trail. For instance, the stations and rail lines associated with the Trinity crossing appear to have a smaller Park footprint than the South 1st stations and lines do, particularly in the Auditorium Shores area. In addition, there is already a dark “dead zone” under the Drake (South 1st) Bridge on the north side of the lake. Adding yet another bridge immediately next to the Drake Bridge will only enlarge this “dead zone.” Finally, the preservation of heritage trees is also of great importance, and it appears that the Trinity crossing would have the least impact on heritage trees of the two options.

**User Experience:** TTC urges ATP to carefully consider the impact on Trail users that the different crossings will have. When we engage the community and Trail users—which we do regularly—we always hear how much they value the peaceful, nature-focused experience they have on the Trail. Preserving this environment is very important to TTC.

With the caveat that we do not have very detailed information, it appears to TTC that the Trinity crossing would have less impact on the daily experience of a Trail user than the South 1st crossing would. Regardless of whether the line is at grade or elevated, it is hard to imagine how a train running over or through the open spaces of Auditorium Shores would not diminish one’s experience there. On the other hand, a crossing at Trinity would involve crossing the Trail on the south side of the Lake somewhere in the former Austin...
American-Statesman site. Because that site is not yet constructed, it will be much easier to consider and accommodate the Trail environment in that crossing. On the north side, given the grade differential from the street to the Lake at this point, the rail line will have to be elevated significantly above the Trail here, mitigating negative impacts.

In summary, for all of the reasons set out above, TTC encourages ATP to have the light rail line cross Lady Bird Lake at the Trinity Street location. We appreciate ATP taking the time to present the options to TTC, and taking into account TTC’s views. We remain ready to provide any additional input ATP would like to receive, and look forward to working with ATP as the Project Connect plan gets built out.
May 10, 2023

To:
Greg Canally
Austin Transit Partnership
Executive Director

Dear Mr. Canally:

On behalf of the Downtown Austin Alliance, we are submitting our comments on the updated light rail implementation plan options. Project Connect is a transformational project for downtown—one that will not only enhance mobility, but also make downtown more vibrant and accessible for years to come. After the release of the updated light rail options on March 21, the Downtown Austin Alliance assembled an ad-hoc committee consisting of board members, stakeholders, and property owners adjacent to proposed alignment options. After five meetings that focused on traffic impacts, garage access, street vibrancy, safety, and connections to downtown amenities, our ad-hoc committee recommended the following comments that were approved by our Board of Directors on May 10th.

Our guiding principles were centered on creating a safe, welcoming and vibrant downtown experience. One that minimizes traffic impacts, expands connections to downtown's many destinations and offerings, maintains local access for existing and planned properties, and ensures that the transit system supports the large volumes of existing and future development in downtown.

With those principles in mind, we recommend the 38th Street to Yellow Jacket alignment ideally with an extension to 45th Street while continuing to identify creative sources of funding for extensions including the airport. Inclusion of adequate park and ride facilities at the terminus of each of the lines is essential. We also strongly recommend the light rail to cross Lady Bird Lake at Trinity Street and include one additional station in the vicinity of Wooldridge Square and the Sweatt Travis County Courthouse. Additionally, we ask for a feasibility study of constructing underpasses at Cesar Chavez/Trinity and/or Guadalupe/15th Street to mitigate future traffic impacts. We strongly urge Austin Transit Partnership, City of Austin and Capital Metro to explore

The Downtown Austin Alliance mission:
To create, preserve and enhance the value and vitality of downtown Austin.
creative financing options for an airport station, or at a minimum to acquire the right of way in the first phase.

Below we have outlined each element with more detailed analysis and comment. Also, we included detailed maps showing current and future development patterns for your review.

**On-Street Light Rail with a Trinity Crossing (see appendix A):**
A dedicated on-street light rail alignment provides users with direct access to what makes downtown Austin a premier destination for residents and visitors. We believe that a partial underground or elevated alignment moves people either through downtown or away from street amenities such as restaurants, hotels, and music venues. As currently proposed, the elevated and partially tunneled options have no benefits and many impacts to downtown properties, parks and retail districts. Additionally, our analysis of current and planned development (office, retail, residential, and hotel units) estimates more than 10 million square feet of office and retail and over 10,000 hotel and residential units. Connecting our transit system to this emerging center of downtown, and to the existing Downtown Station, Convention Center, Waterloo Greenway, the Rainey District, future IH-35 Caps and the South-Central Waterfront is critical.

**Station near Wooldridge Square (see Appendix B):**
As currently proposed, the distance between the Congress and 15th Street stations is approximately one mile. We recommend adding an additional station in the vicinity of Wooldridge Square and Sweatt Travis County Courthouse. This would lessen the distance between the Congress and 15th Street stations to less than half a mile and would provide much needed access to courthouses (federal and state), Austin Community College, Pease School redevelopment, Austin History Center, the Paramount and the Old Bakery. It would also facilitate east-west transfers to frequent bus routes at 7th/8th Streets, providing potential congestion relief at Republic Square.

**Traffic Impacts:**
We strongly urge you to continue to fully evaluate the traffic impacts associated with the preferred option and offer solutions that can mitigate the impacts. One solution we urge you to study is the feasibility of an underpass at Cesar Chavez/Trinity and/or at 15th Street/Guadalupe. Per City of Austin staff traffic estimates, these two intersections would see much longer traffic delays (greater than 45 seconds).

**Airport Station:**
We understand and share the viewpoint that ridership in Phase I is the highest priority for long-term success, yet we believe that there may be creative financing opportunities to build the Airport connection. We encourage you to explore creative funding mechanisms to complete an airport station, working with the Federal Aviation Administration, Austin-Bergstrom International Airport and others. At a minimum,
acquisition of the right of way to the airport should be obtained to ensure the connection in future phases.

With the advancement of the on-street option, the design of the street, stations and public spaces is critical. We want to ensure that opportunities are leveraged to their fullest potential, including the creation of public-private partnerships and design with people and programming in mind to create iconic transit streets and stations. Amenities necessary to operate safe, convenient and welcoming transit stations (including but not limited to security, public restrooms, wayfinding and drinking fountains) should be integrated. The design should enhance and bolster downtown’s existing buildings, creative culture, parks and public spaces, local businesses and street vitality. We ask that you involve us early and continuously through a partnership to develop the design for downtown streets and stations as well as to plan for long-term programming and activation strategies.

We appreciate the process and effort undertaken by the staff from Austin Transit Partnership and the City of Austin in advancing us to this critical decision point. The team was responsive, active and engaged with our organization and members of the downtown community. All considered; however, we have had limited time to thoroughly review all information and data requested especially traffic analysis and impacts, thus our feedback and recommendations may alter as more information becomes available during future studies and design. Most importantly, we want to remain fully involved in assisting as a partner as Project Connect proceeds.

Sincerely,

Jeff Howard, Chair
Downtown Austin Alliance

Dewitt Peart, President & CEO
Downtown Austin Alliance

CC:
Austin City Council
Capital Metro Board of Directors
Austin Transit Partnership Board of Directors
Lindsay Wood, EVP of Engineering & Construction, Austin Transit Partnership
Peter Mullan, EVP of Architecture & Urban Design, Austin Transit Partnership
APPENDIX B
WOOLDRIDGE STATION CONNECTIVITY

MAP LEGEND

- Grade Rail
- Potential Light Rail Segment
- Existing MetroRail
- Notable Connections
- Public Green Space
- Potential I-35 Caps

Note: Destinations vary by proposed ATP alignments.